

NAME :

DS 1

I- Grammar Test /20

1. Conjuguez les verbes entre parenthèses au **présent simple** :

- a) Juliet (not + BE) very happy about the decision.
- b) John (CHANGE) clothes whenever he
..... (FEEL) like it.
- c) My very good friend (GO) to the gym every day.
- d) They (BE) very pleased to see you.
- e) I (not + THINK) Tommy (AGREE)
with you.
- f) (they + THINK) they can come and go as they
..... (PLEASE) ?
- g) Why (she + WANT) to go to New York?

2. Conjuguez les verbes entre parenthèses au **présent en BE + ING**:

- a) (you + KID) me ? (he +
COME) to the party tonight?
- b) Well as for me, I (not + COME).
- c) Stop ! They (OPEN) the door.
- d) (they + WRITE) a letter to their mom?
- e) My cat (HAVE) trouble at the moment.
- f) He (GET) old but he
(not + CONSIDER) going to an old people's home.
- g) (Jessie + READ) the news?
- h) Look! The kids (PLAY) together this time!

3. Choisissez la forme qui convient (**présent simple ou en BE + ING**) et conjuguez le verbe entre parenthèses.

1. (they / LISTEN) to the radio? No, you can turn it off.
2. The water (BOIL) at 100 degrees.
3. Tom (not + HAVE) any excuse for not coming.
4. (he / PLAY) tennis on Tuesdays.
5. Listen! They (PLAY) my favourite song.
6. I (not + UNDERSTAND), you said you would be coming.
7. He (WANT) to tell you something: Ben and Claire (HAVE + not) a good time right now, they need to go.
8. What (he + DO)? He (BE) a piano teacher.

II- Question de Compréhension écrite /20

Lisez les textes ci-dessous et répondez en anglais à la question qui suit en 220 mots + ou – 10%, indiquez clairement le nombre de mots. Les critères de notations sont présents à la fin des documents.

What is Donald Trump's objective concerning fighting climate change and how does he intend to accomplish it?

Document 1

“Full on Fight Club”: How Trump is Crushing U.S. Climate Policy

By David Gelles, Lisa Friedman and Brad Plumer, March 2, 2025, *The New York Times*

In a few short weeks, President Trump has severely damaged the government’s ability to fight climate change, upending American environmental policy with moves that could have lasting implications for the country, and the planet.

With a flurry of actions that have stretched the limits of presidential power, Mr. Trump has gutted federal climate efforts, rolled back regulations aimed at limiting pollution and given a major boost to the fossil fuel industry.

He is abandoning efforts to reduce global warming, even as the world has reached record levels of heat that scientists say is driven largely by the burning of fossil fuels. Every corner of the world is now experiencing the effects of these rising temperatures in the form of deadlier hurricanes, floods, wildfires and droughts, as well as species extinction.

To achieve such a wholesale overhaul of the country’s climate policies in such a short time, the Trump administration has reneged on federal grants, fired workers *en masse* and attacked longstanding environmental regulations. [...]

The Trump administration’s moves have unfolded simultaneously across the sprawling government, affecting federal, state and local agencies and hitting government-funded projects in Africa, Antarctica and around the world. On Inauguration Day, Mr. Trump withdrew the United States from the Paris climate agreement, making it the only nation to walk away.

Mr. Trump has frozen funds appropriated by Congress for clean energy projects, taking particular aim at wind energy, the country’s largest source of renewable power. He has stopped approvals for wind farms on public land and in federal waters and has threatened to block projects on private land.

He has fired thousands of federal workers, dismantled programs aimed at helping polluted communities and scrubbed references to climate change from numerous federal websites.

He has waged a multipronged assault at regulations designed to curb pollution, immediately sweeping some rules to the side and circumventing the normally lengthy rule-making processes. At the same time, Mr. Trump has declared an energy emergency, giving himself the authority to fast-track the construction of oil and gas projects as he works to stoke supply as well as demand for fossil fuels.

“We’re going to drill, baby, drill and do all of the things that we wanted to,” Mr. Trump said just hours after being sworn in for his second term.

The United States is producing more oil than any other nation in history, and is also the world’s biggest exporter of natural gas. The fossil fuel industry donated more than \$75 million to Mr. Trump’s presidential campaign and Mr. Trump, in turn, promised to weaken environmental regulations in ways that would lower its costs and increase its margins.

The president has repeatedly mocked climate change, criticized regulations and said that more drilling would bring down energy bills.

[...] Until last month, the United States was expected to record significant reductions in its greenhouse-gas emissions over the next decade. But the Trump administration’s changes pave the way for more planet-warming pollution and will likely slow the advance of cleaner technologies like wind and solar energy.

“To power the Great American comeback, President Trump is unleashing American energy and eliminating the Green New Scam,” said Taylor Rogers, a White House spokesperson. “The Department of Energy and Environmental Protection Agency are working in tandem to implement President Trump’s Day 1 executive action and undo Biden’s radical climate policies that restrained America’s economy and abundant natural resources.”

Mr. Trump’s supporters are delighting in the audacity and scale of his attacks on climate and environmental regulations.

“They’re doing all the things I thought they would do, and they’re doing other things that I only dreamed they might do,” said Myron Ebell, a conservative activist who led the E.P.A. transition team during Mr. Trump’s first term.

Many of Mr. Trump’s moves may have a lasting effect on the country’s ability to confront climate change.

Document 2

L'administration Trump ne veut plus considérer les émissions de gaz à effet de serre comme un danger

Par Solveig Godeluck, le 27 févr. 2025, *Les Echos*

Non contente d'avoir remis en cause le libre-échange dans le monde, l'alliance atlantique, la coopération internationale en santé, la nouvelle administration américaine s'attaque à la lutte contre le réchauffement climatique. Donald Trump a déjà annoncé le retrait américain des accords de Paris sur le climat. A présent, le patron du régulateur de l'environnement vient de proposer au président de saper le fondement de toutes les réglementations pro-climat des Etats-Unis.

Lee Zeldin, l'administrateur de l'agence EPA, aurait recommandé à Donald Trump de réécrire le constat de mise en péril du 15 décembre 2009, selon l'agence Bloomberg. Ce document, fondé sur des preuves scientifiques, expose que six gaz à effet de serre dont le dioxyde de carbone et le méthane sont « dangereux à la fois pour la santé publique et le bien-être des générations actuelles et futures ». Il ajoute que « les émissions combinées de ces gaz à effet de serre provenant des nouveaux véhicules motorisés et des nouveaux moteurs auto contribuent à la pollution de l'air ».

« C'est un projet idéologique. Ce serait une erreur d'assumer que la révision du constat de 2009 sera un exercice de bonne foi », accuse Joseph Goffman, un ancien cadre de l'EPA qui était chargé de mettre en oeuvre la réglementation antipollution aérienne. « Vous pouvez être sûrs que leur contre-projet ne sera pas rédigé par des experts scientifiques mais par des politiques, et qu'il sera produit à la hâte, parce que la priorité est d'aller vite », poursuit ce bénévole de l'association Environmental Protection Network, en comparant l'offensive à un effort pour « prouver que la terre n'est pas ronde ».

Offensive contre « l'arnaque verte »

Demain, si les gaz à effet de serre ne sont plus considérés comme un péril, les autres réglementations environnementales pourront être détricotées plus rapidement. « Ils veulent créer un lubrifiant universel pour accélérer le retrait des autres règles, parce que s'ils laissaient en place le constat de 2009, ça leur prendrait au moins deux ans pour tout défaire », assure Joseph Goffman. [...]

Critères	Attendus	Points
Méthodologie	Introduction telle que vue en classe (contexte, source...)	/5
	Réponse bien organisée et hiérarchisée / présence de liens logiques	
	Pas de citation / bonne reformulation / synthèse	
	Bonne identification des 2 docs dans la réponse /0.5	
	Question bien comprise (pas d'éléments hors sujet) /0.5	
	Respect du nombre de mots /0.5	
	Présence de paragraphes <u>avec alinéas</u> /0.5	
Contenu / Compréhension	Réponse complète. Présence de tous les éléments de réponse	/5
Langue	Langue simple mais correcte	/10