

Correction CE sujet TB 2025

Points communs :

Les deux articles abordent la désinformation qui a suivi les ouragans et montrent les dangers de ces fausses informations. Les deux articles montrent en quoi le gouvernement fédéral est attaqué par les républicains qui se servent de cette catastrophe naturelle pour les critiquer. Les fausses informations en question circulent sur les réseaux sociaux et sont diffusées par la droite radicale. Ces fausses informations nourrissent le climato scepticisme et ont des conséquences directes sur les futures prises en charge des catastrophes naturelles.

Différences :

Le document du Guardian se focalise plus sur des questions liées au climat et sur une attaque envers les scientifiques (en plus de l'attaque à l'encontre du gouvernement Biden).

Suggested answer :

In the aftermath of hurricanes Helene and Milton in 2024, the United States experienced a wave of disinformation. The two articles, one from *Le Figaro* (1) and the other from *The Guardian* (2), published during the presidential campaign, examine how **harmful** this disinformation can be.

Both articles explicitly show that **right-wing politicians**, including presidential the **then**-candidate Donald Trump, used disinformation to fiercely criticise the Biden administration's response to the hurricanes. An AI-generated picture (1) circulated on social media to attack the federal government, while false claims about FEMA were also widely spread online (2).

Paradoxically, these natural disasters also **fuelled climate-sceptic rhetoric**, as **far-right politicians** claimed that meteorologists had **engineered** the hurricanes (1, 2). Science is undermined by fake news circulating on social media, where extremists are amplified while experts and scientists are not only silenced but also face direct threats and even violence (2).

Both texts highlight how misinformation threatens scientific facts and could have serious implications for the future: by attacking science and federal agencies, predicting hurricanes and assisting victims will become increasingly difficult (1, 2). Unfortunately, such a **pattern** is not limited to the United States and other countries may see similar distrust of scientific facts (2).

It has become clear that every tragic event is exploited by some to **further** polarize American society. (217 words)

In the aftermath of		To fuel	
Harmful		Climate-sceptic rhetoric	
Ring-wing (adj)		Far-right (adj)	
The then-		To engineer	
A pattern		Further (in this context)	

