Do we really care more about Van Gogh's sunflowers than real ones? By George Monbiot, The Guardian, Wednesday 19th October, 2022

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

What does it take? How far must we go to alert other people to the scale of the crisis we face? Only one answer is clear: further than we have yet gone. We are hurtling towards planetary tipping points: the critical thresholds beyond which Earth systems collapse. The consequences are unimaginable.

Everywhere I see claims that the "extreme" tactics of environmental campaigners will prompt people to "stop listening". But how could we listen any less to the warnings of scientists and campaigners and eminent committees? How could we pay any less attention to polite objections by "respectable" protesters to the destruction of the habitable planet? Something must shake us out of our stupor.

The response by the media and government to the two Just Stop Oil activists who threw soup at Vincent van Gogh's Sunflowers in the National Gallery in London speaks volumes. Decorating the glass protecting the painting with tomato soup (the painting itself was, as the protesters calculated, undamaged) appears to horrify some people more than the collapse of our planet, which these campaigners are seeking to prevent.

Writing for the Mail on Sunday, the home secretary, Suella Braverman, claimed: "There is widespread agreement that we need to protect our environment, but democracies reach decisions in a civilised manner." Oh yes? So what are the democratic means of contesting the government's decision to award more than 100 new licences to drill for oil and gas in the North Sea? Who gave the energy secretary, Jacob Rees-Mogg, a democratic mandate to break the government's legal commitments under the Climate Change Act by instructing his officials to extract "every cubic inch of gas"?

Who voted for the investment zones that the prime minister, Liz Truss, has decreed, which will rip down planning laws and trash protected landscapes? Or any of the major policies she has sought to impose on us, after being elected by 81,000 Conservative members -0.12% of the UK population? What is "civilised" about placing the profits of fossil fuel companies above the survival of life on Earth?

In 2018, Theresa May's government oversaw the erection of a statue of Millicent Fawcett in Parliament Square, which holds a banner saying "Courage calls to courage everywhere", because a century is a safe distance from which to celebrate radical action. Since then, the Conservatives have introduced viciously repressive laws to stifle the voice of courage. The government is carefully criminalising every effective means of protest in England and Wales, leaving us with nothing but authorised processions conducted in near silence and letters to our MPs, which are universally ignored by both media and legislators.

Who are the criminals here? Those seeking to prevent the vandalism of the living planet, or those facilitating it?

Whenever I visit the National Gallery, I can't help but wonder how many of the places in its treasured landscape paintings have been destroyed by development or agriculture. Such destruction, which Truss, Braverman and the rest of the government now plan to accelerate, even in our national parks, is commonly justified as "the price of progress". But if someone were to burn or slash the paintings themselves, it would be an abhorrent act of brutality. How do we explain these double standards? Why is life less valuable than the depiction of life?

In raising these issues, I don't seek to deny the value of art or the necessity of protecting it. On the contrary: I want the same crucial protections extended to planet Earth, without which there is no art, no culture and no life.

The soup-throwing and similar outrageous-but-harmless actions generate such fury because they force us not to stop listening, but to start. Why, we can't help asking ourselves, would young people jeopardise their freedom and their future prospects in this way. The answer, we can't help hearing, is that they seek to avert a much greater threat to both.