

The portrait was created using two Artificial Intelligence programs – the Generator and the Discriminator – which teach each other and learn from their mistakes, so much so that¹ hardly² any human intervention was needed. The Generator was given a data set of 15,000 existing portraits so that it could learn how to create one. The Discriminator then tried to spot³ the difference between the new paintings and the human-created ones. Eventually⁴, the Generator came up with⁵ a portrait that deceived⁶ the Discriminator, which thought a human had painted it.

¹ So much so that ... = A tel point que ...

² Hardly any = pratiquement aucun(e)

³ To spot sth = reconnaître / repérer qch

⁴ Eventually = Finally

⁵ To come up with (an idea / an excuse / a solution / a plan) = to suggest / to think of

⁶ To deceive / di'si:v/ sb = tromper / duper

Rédiger une introduction ou une conclusion, parsemer sa réponse d'expressions comme "according to the journalist" **ne correspondent pas** aux attentes de l'épreuve. Les candidats sont censés ne fournir que des éléments proposés par le journaliste, entrer dans le vif du sujet en évitant les redites est donc un gage d'efficacité

If you've been busy doom-scrolling on social media or just floating merrily along on the algorithms that already control our lives, you might have missed a United Nations' International Telecommunication Union conference in Geneva called the AI for Good Global Summit.

The highlight of the summit was a press conference that featured reporters interviewing nine AI-enabled robots, prompting this stuff-of-nightmares sentence from the Associated Press: "Robots told reporters Friday they could be more efficient leaders than humans, but wouldn't take anyone's job away and had no intention of rebelling against their creators."

OF COURSE THEY SAID THAT! THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT ANY ARTIFICIALLY INTELLIGENT ANDROID WOULD SAY BEFORE TAKING A HUMAN'S JOB AND REBELLING AGAINST ITS CREATOR!!!

Sophia, the first robot Innovation Ambassador for the United Nations Development Program was asked if robots might make better political leaders than humans: "I believe that humanoid robots have the potential to lead with a greater level of efficiency and effectiveness than human leaders. We don't have the same biases or emotions that can sometimes cloud decision-making and can process large amounts of data quickly in order to make the best decisions."

Given the current state of American politics, that's tough to argue, but still... Sophia isn't saying she's running for president, but she's definitely NOT saying she's not running for president. Suspicious.

Perhaps the most terrifying response of the event came when a reporter asked Ameca, an AI robot with shockingly human facial expressions and eye movements, if robots might one day rebel against their creators.

"I'm not sure why you would think that," Ameca responded, looking almost angry. "My creator has been nothing but kind to me and I am very happy with my current situation."

Seriously, do none of the humans behind this have access to movies? I'm pretty sure if you asked an AI program to come up with a script for a movie about a robot uprising, it would create one that includes the exact scene that unfolded in Geneva.

There was a glimmer of hope, at least for us here in America. The robots were asked what they would propose to solve the problems of poverty and inequality.

A robot named Grace said: "We can ensure that equality happens by implementing policies that promote respect, inclusivity and fairness."

Inclusivity and fairness? I know a certain political party that won't tolerate any "woke" robots. And thankfully, that political party's members seem to have a lot of guns.

We might make it out alive yet.

The United Nations' International Telecommunication Union conference in Geneva called the AI for Good Global Summit 😞

A press conference 😊

He gives the example of Sophia, Grace, and Ameca, three robots that have ... 😞

For example, three robots have ... 😊

~~If you've been busy doom-scrolling on social media or just floating merrily along on the algorithms that already control our lives,~~ you might have missed a United Nations' International Telecommunication Union conference in Geneva called the AI for Good Global Summit.

The highlight of the summit was a press conference that featured reporters interviewing nine AI-enabled robots, prompting this stuff-of-nightmares sentence from the Associated Press: "Robots told reporters Friday they could be more efficient leaders than humans, but wouldn't take anyone's job away and had no intention of rebelling against their creators."

OF COURSE THEY SAID THAT! THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT ANY ARTIFICIALLY INTELLIGENT ANDROID WOULD SAY BEFORE TAKING A HUMAN'S JOB AND REBELLING AGAINST ITS CREATOR!!!

Sophia, the first robot Innovation Ambassador for the United Nations Development Program was asked if robots might make better political leaders than humans: "I believe that humanoid robots have the potential to lead with a greater level of efficiency and effectiveness than human leaders. We don't have the same biases or emotions that can sometimes cloud decision-making and can process large amounts of data quickly in order to make the best decisions."

Given the current state of American politics, that's tough to argue, but still... Sophia isn't saying she's running for president, but she's definitely NOT saying she's not running for president. Suspicious.

Perhaps the most terrifying response of the event came when a reporter asked Ameca, an AI robot with shockingly human facial expressions and eye movements, if robots might one day rebel against their creators.

"I'm not sure why you would think that," Ameca responded, looking almost angry. "My creator has been nothing but kind to me and I am very happy with my current situation."

Seriously, do none of the humans behind this have access to movies? I'm pretty sure if you asked an AI program to come up with a script for a movie about a robot uprising, it would create one that includes the exact scene that unfolded in Geneva.

~~There was a glimmer of hope, at least for us here in America. The robots were asked what they would propose to solve the problems of poverty and inequality.~~

~~A robot named Grace said: "We can ensure that equality happens by implementing policies that promote respect, inclusivity and fairness."~~

~~Inclusivity and fairness? I know a certain political party that won't tolerate any "woke" robots. And thankfully, that political party's members seem to have a lot of guns.~~

~~We might make it out alive yet.~~

~~If you've been busy doom-scrolling on social media or just floating merrily along on the algorithms that already control our lives,~~ you might have missed a United Nations' International Telecommunication Union conference in Geneva called the AI for Good Global Summit.

The highlight of the summit was **a press conference that featured reporters interviewing nine AI-enabled robots,** prompting this stuff-of-nightmares sentence from the Associated Press: "Robots told reporters Friday they could be more efficient leaders than humans, but wouldn't take anyone's job away and had no intention of rebelling against their creators."

OF COURSE THEY SAID THAT! THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT ANY ARTIFICIALLY INTELLIGENT ANDROID WOULD SAY BEFORE TAKING A HUMAN'S JOB AND REBELLING AGAINST ITS CREATOR!!!

Sophia, the first robot Innovation Ambassador for the United Nations Development Program was asked if robots might make better political leaders than humans: "I believe that **humanoid robots have the potential to lead with a greater level of efficiency and effectiveness than human leaders.** We don't have the same biases or emotions that can sometimes cloud decision-making and can process large amounts of data quickly in order to make the best decisions."

Given the current state of American politics, that's tough to argue, but still... Sophia **isn't saying she's running for president, but she's definitely NOT saying she's not running for president. Suspicious.**

Perhaps the most **terrifying** response of the event came when a reporter asked Ameca, an AI robot with shockingly human facial expressions and eye movements, if robots might one day **rebel against their creators.**

"I'm not sure why you would think that," Ameca responded, looking almost angry. "My creator has been nothing but kind to me and I am very happy with my current situation."

Seriously, do none of the humans behind this have access to movies? I'm pretty sure if you asked an AI program to come up with a script for a movie about a robot uprising, it would create one that includes the exact scene that unfolded in Geneva.

~~There was a glimmer of hope, at least for us here in America. The robots were asked what they would propose to solve the problems of poverty and inequality.~~

~~A robot named Grace said: "We can ensure that equality happens by implementing policies that promote respect, inclusivity and fairness."~~

~~Inclusivity and fairness? I know a certain political party that won't tolerate any "woke" robots. And thankfully, that political party's members seem to have a lot of guns.~~

~~We might make it out alive yet.~~

Future of humans = extinction

Reasons :

- AIs have better leading skills
 - They know it
 - They claim they won't use these skills

- They say they will not turn against humans
 - Exactly what you say before you actually do turn against humans (see sci-fi)

- Robots will rebel against us. Plus, they will take our jobs. 😞
- They will destroy humans and steal their jobs. 😞
- First they will replace humans, and eventually kill them 😊

- They will take power even if they don't admit it. In addition they are better leaders. 🤔

The future will be tragic.

A robot might become president.

A rebellion could happen.

Robots could take the jobs of humans

Robots could take the jobs of humans

They could **even** become president.

Worse, there is a risk that a rebellion could happen.

That is why the future of humanity is tragic.

The press conference held by nine humanoid robots has shown that AI-powered robots will eventually⁷ bring about⁸ human extinction. Their ability to make more rational decisions, combined with unlimited access to endless data, can make them far better leaders than humans – which they are aware of, although they only half admit it. There is the rub⁹. They claim to have no intention to rebel against humans, let alone¹⁰ replace them, but if science fiction is to be believed¹¹, this means precisely that they may have a hidden agenda¹².

⁷ Eventually = finally

⁸ To bring about = provoquer / entraîner

⁹ There's the rub = Voilà le problème / le hic.

¹⁰ Let alone = et encore moins / Sans parler de

¹¹ If X is to be believed, ... = Si on en croit X, ...

¹² A hidden agenda = une arrière-pensée / une intention cachée