"Health is a matter for the individual and not for the State." Discuss, illustrating your answer with relevant examples. $(180 \text{ words}, \pm 10\%)$

Nannyism / The Nanny state

When the government is regarded as overprotective or as interfering unduly with personal choice.

"Health is a matter for the individual and not for the State." Discuss, illustrating your answer with relevant examples. $(180 \text{ words}, \pm 10\%)$

A - Agree = it's a matter for the individual, not for the state

OR

B-BOTH

- It is a matter for the individual when .../ for the state when...
- It is a matter for the state if and only if / provided / as long as
- It's is not a matter for the state **unless**

OR

C- Disagree = It is definitely a matter for the state, not for individuals

True, most adults are sensible enough to understand what is good for them and to make choices accordingly. Who does not know about the dangers of smoking, for instance?

True, most adults are sensible enough to understand what is good for them and to make choices accordingly. Who does not know about the dangers of smoking, for instance?

Citizens are free to live their lives as they see fit, and it should not be the role of the state to protect them against their own excesses.

True, most adults are sensible enough to understand what is good for them and to make choices accordingly. Who does not know about the dangers of smoking, for instance?

Citizens are free to live their lives as they see fit, and it should not be the role of the state to protect them against their own excesses.

Yet, things are not as easy as they appear.

True, most adults are sensible enough to understand what is good for them and to make choices accordingly. Who does not know about the dangers of smoking, for instance?

Citizens are free to live their lives as they see fit, and it should not be the role of the state to protect them against their own excesses.

Yet, things are not as easy as they appear.

The recent Covid crisis has shown that the line is blurred between individual liberties and the public good. Many people saw mask mandates and lockdowns as a form of tyranny.

True, most adults are sensible enough to understand what is good for them and to make choices accordingly. Who does not know about the dangers of smoking, for instance?

Citizens are free to live their lives as they see fit, and it should not be the role of the state to protect them against their own excesses.

Yet, things are not as easy as they appear.

The recent Covid crisis has shown that the line is blurred between individual liberties and the public good. Many people saw mask mandates and lockdowns as a form of tyranny.

But the example of the US, where Covid response was slowed down by the federal government's inaction, not to mention the many legal challenges from individuals, suggests that only government intervention could get us out of that crisis.

True, most adults are sensible enough to understand what is good for them and to make choices accordingly. Who does not know about the dangers of smoking, for instance?

Citizens are free to live their lives as they see fit, and it should not be the role of the state to protect them against their own excesses.

Yet, things are not as easy as they appear.

The recent Covid crisis has shown that the line is blurred between individual liberties and the public good. Many people saw mask mandates and lockdowns as a form of tyranny.

But the example of the US, where Covid response was slowed down by the federal government's inaction, not to mention the many legal challenges from individuals, suggests that only government intervention could get us out of that crisis.

All in all, I would say that health is a matter for both individuals and the State, since the public good always trumps personal liberty.

True, most adults are sensible enough to understand what is good for them and to make choices accordingly. Who does not know about the dangers of smoking, for instance? Citizens are free to live their lives as they see fit, and it should not be the role of the state to protect them against their own excesses.

Yet, things are not as easy as they appear. The recent Covid crisis has shown that the line is blurred between individual liberties and the public good. Many people saw mask mandates and lockdowns as a form of tyranny. But the example of the US, where Covid response was slowed down by the federal government's inaction, not to mention the many legal challenges from individuals, suggests that only government intervention could get us out of that crisis.

All in all, I would say that health is a matter for both individuals and the State, since the public good always trumps personal liberty.