

Q1

Vaping is dangerous due to severe addiction issues. Therefore, some argue that e-cigarettes should be forbidden in the USA, but the journalist disagrees because banning vaping would lead people to consume more dangerous products. Instead, The solution is regulation. Government should control the amount of nicotine authorized, restrict the impact of advertising about vaping, not to mention warning labels on vaping products, which would be much more effective than making it illegal.

72 words

The government should try to regulate vaping **instead** of banning it because it would lead vapers to consume some more dangerous products such as real cigarettes that kill thousands of people yearly. **However** vaping is still bad for your health and so it should be regulated. Vape fluids should be controlled as it already is in Europe. The marketing aimed toward children should be banned, in order to help people to stop smoking without creating new nicotine addicts. And there should be warning labels on vaping products, which would be much more effective than making them illegal.

97 words

## Q2 - Problématiques

Many people think the State must protect its citizens. But, to what extent should the State intervene in public health?

Is the individual only responsible for their own health ?

How far should the government help citizens to stay healthy ?