Fill in the blanks with the /a(n) $/\varnothing$

Pilotless planes could save airlines billions. But would anyone fly?

Pilotless planes could save airlines billions. But would anyone fly?

Adapted from cnn.com

The aviation industry could save \$35 billion **a** year by moving to pilotless planes, according to a new report. Just one problem: The same report warns that only 17% of travelers are willing to fly without a pilot.

The technology required to operate \emptyset remote-controlled planes could appear by 2025. Further advances beyond 2030 might result in automated business jets and helicopters, and finally commercial aircraft without pilots.

Commercial flights already land with **the** assistance of on-board computers, and pilots manually fly the aircraft for only a few minutes on average. However, contrary to popular belief, airliners do not fly themselves, even when they're on autopilot. Pilots are continually monitoring and adjusting aircraft navigation and systems, communicating with air traffic control and preparing for **the** next phase of the flight.

The shift has the potential to save the aviation industry huge amounts of money. Airlines typically employ 10 pilots per aircraft, and reducing their number would result in less spending on \varnothing training, \varnothing salaries and other staffing costs.

It could also help alleviate an expected pilot shortage over the coming decades.

Still, there is likely to be major resistance to taking pilots out of the cockpit.

A survey of 8,000 people found that 54% of respondents were unlikely to take a pilotless flight. Just 17% of respondents, who were from **the** U.S., **the** U.K., ØFrance, ØGermany and ØAustralia, said they would buy the ticket.