

Young people are abandoning democracy for dictators. I can understand their despair | Owen Jones

The Guardian, January 14th 2025

Democracy is dying across the globe. This may sound alarmist and generate a follow-up question: what does that actually mean?

Faith in democracy is unquestionably on the decline. A new study finds that a fifth of Britons under 45 believe that the best system for running a country effectively is “a strong leader who doesn't have to bother with elections” compared with 8% of their older counterparts. That mirrors other findings across the world. A study by Cambridge researchers in 2020 examined attitudes in 160 countries and found that younger generations “have become steadily more disillusioned with democracy”. And according to the Pew Research Center, nearly two-thirds of citizens in 12 high-income nations were dissatisfied with democracy in 2024, up from just under half in 2017.

Why is this happening? An economic model that delivers stagnation and insecurity has much to answer for. The Cambridge study concluded that economic exclusion was a major reason for discontentment among young people. (...) A toxic combination of neoliberal economic policies and austerity have battered the young. Thatcherism promised freedom and instead delivered insecurity. Secure jobs have evaporated, rents have escalated, wages have fallen, youth services have been decimated and graduates face punishing debts for attending university. Younger Britons have suffered the brunt of policies most of them never voted for. It's no wonder democracy seems increasingly unappealing to them and to their peers in other countries who have suffered at the sharp end of neoliberalism. In France, for example, nearly a third of young people say they have lost faith in democracy.

There is something else in the mix though. Take the United States. The 1960s and 70s offered endless fertile ground for a Trump-like figure to emerge and triumph. The economy was in crisis – a toxic blend of high inflation and stagnant growth. There was an aggressive racist backlash against the civil rights movement, as well as riots across the US. Violent crime surged to much higher levels than today's. After nearly 60,000 US soldiers died in the Vietnam war, the conflict ended in humiliating defeat, and the sense of the US as a power in decline was pervasive.

Trump's closest mainstream equivalent back then was George Wallace, a racist and segregationist who was still less crude and demagogic than the current president-elect. He secured 13.5% in the presidential election of 1968, and the US ended up with Richard Nixon and then with Ronald Reagan.

What's changed? The shadow of the fascist experience, which led to a genocidal war of annihilation, has lost its power. The stigma of dictatorship and far-right extremism has diminished. American voters of the 70s might have been profoundly disillusioned, but they would have looked at Trump and fretted that he had too much of a whiff of a Mussolini, too much of an echo of a Hitler. This fear no longer applies.

Democracy under capitalism has always been heavily curtailed by corporate interests and plutocrats who have enjoyed far greater power than the average voter. When capitalism falls into crisis, as it did in 2008, its profound flaws generate popular fury. The question is who harnesses this. One major danger is that the ascendant far right has developed a devastatingly successful social media strategy, radicalising ever-growing numbers of followers, while the left is light years behind.

People are right to be enraged, but that anger is being redirected at all the wrong targets. Faith in democracy is crumbling because of a failed economic system, and unless convincing answers to this crisis are offered, that may prove fatal.

Read the text and identify the source

An article from the Guardian, a center left (/rather leftist) British newspaper. It was published in early 2025, more precisely ON January 14th 2025. It seems to be a warning to its audience.

Identify the main idea of the text (clue : it is often in the title)

The journalist is pointing at the fact that young people seem to be taking their distances with democracy, and he seems to understand why. Thus the article is biased / subjective / opinionated.

What question / Problem could derive from the article ?

Why are young people falling out of favour with democracy ? What could be the consequences of such a rebuke on their behalf, if one considers what happened in the past ?

Summarizing the text

Does the text obey to any given pattern (Facts, Causes, Consequences // Example, Problem, Solution) ? Modify your keywords if need be, to gain in clarity.

Facts : several studies show that more and more young people are critical of democracy and would prefer strong leadership. The latest study shows one in five Britons under 45 would prefer an autocrat, and an older study from Cambridge dating back to 2020 showed growing wariness towards democracy in 160 countries across the world. A Pew Research Center study (the American equivalent the French INSEE bureau of statistics) also showed a recent 2/3 dissatisfaction with democracy in 16 of the most wealthy countries in the world.

How can this phenomenon be explained ? It is mostly due to a flawed economy that young people are suffering from while they did not vote for the political decisions which led to the present situation of stagnation and lack of jobs. **Nonetheless**, the journalist moves on to adding that something bigger is at stake today, compared to economic crises of the past, such as in the 60s or 70s. Indeed, the threat of extremism and fascism, with leaders the likes of Hitler and Mussolini have become too remote to the present young generations for them to really fear potential dictators. Thus moving away from democracy and its flaws is slowly becoming more acceptable, which is benefiting the ascendant far-right due to people's anger, and even fury.

The journalist concludes in encouraging politicians to find better answers to the shortcomings of capitalism. This biased article could lead to ask the following question : How much is democracy threatened in the English-speaking world today ?

What is the mood set by the journalist. Do you agree with his view ?

A sense of emergency and doomism. Politicians have to react or democracy could be lost in the free-world.

Finally, think of a stunning catchphrase to lead to your presentation

What is the state of democracy in the English speaking world today ?

Build a no more than 5-minute Intro/Summary/Thesis line for next week and practice saying it !

Potential commentary

- 1. Free and fair elections ?(UK / US / France)**
- 2. Balance of powers ? (legislative/judicial/executive)**
- 3. Power to the people ? (massive inequalities sometimes show that power is in the hands of individuals who seem to have become more powerful than states)**